

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/461,336	12/15/1999	DAZHI CHEN	043311-0313722	3675			
909 75	7590 10/13/2009		EXAMINER				
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500							
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/461,336	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Asfand M. Sheikh	3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 21 July 2009 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the

	quirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or $1.\overline{4}$. In order for the amendme m(s) is required.	ent document to be compliant, correction of the following			
ГНЕ	IE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEND 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include marking B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other				
	2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1B. Other	.72.			
	"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.1	correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings			
	 C. Each claim has not been provided with the proof each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status in (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), D. The claims of this amendment paper have not the provided with the provided provided in the provided with the provided wi	of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) oper status identifier, and as such, the individual status status of every claim must be indicated after its claim dentifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). t been presented in ascending numerical order. wawn claims that have been amended must be listed as			
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed See Continuation Sheet	ed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):			
or	r further explanation of the amendment format required by 37	CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.			
ГІМІ	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
	Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Qua				
	filed in response to a Quayle action; or	amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment endment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental			
		/F. Ryan Zeender/			
	5	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627			

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 5 Other: The examiner notes MPEP 714.02 requires: The reply by the applicant... must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of object and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The exmainer notes in this instance Claim 153 is not addressed with respect to Mossberg in view of Gregory and Woolston and further in view of Shear. Further the examiner notes the reply contains multiple errors with respect to the rejection by citing Mossberg in view of Barzilai and Godin and Leonard (Rejection under 35 USC 103, Claim 1, 36, 71, 102. 133, and 153)..